Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/774,406	MORITA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Negussie Worku	2626
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.31	ears on the cover sheet wits (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in ) or other appropriate commu	this application. If not included nication will be mailed in due course. <b>THIS</b>
1. This communication is responsive to <u>01/31/05</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-20</u> .		,
3. The drawings filed on 31 January 2001 are accepted by the	ne Examiner.	
<ul> <li>4.</li></ul>	e been received. e been received in Application occuments have been received of this communication to file MENT of this application.  Initted. Note the attached EXA res reason(s) why the oath or st be submitted. Son's Patent Drawing Review of Amendment / Comment or 1.84(c)) should be written on the header according to 37 CFI posit of BIOLOGICAL MATE	In No  In this national stage application from the a reply complying with the requirements  MINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF declaration is deficient.  In ( PTO-948) attached  In the Office action of the drawings in the front (not the back) of R 1.121(d).  ERIAL must be submitted. Note the
<ul> <li>Attachment(s)</li> <li>1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No./Mail Date 01/31/2005)</li> <li>4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material</li> </ul>	6. ☐ Interview Su Paper No./I 08), 7. ☐ Examiner's	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) Immary (PTO-413), Mail Date Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allowance
Norsie Woll		JEROME GRANT II PRIMARY EXAMINER
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-37 (Rev. 1-04) N	otice of Allowability	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050606

## DETAILED ACTION

## Reasons for Allowance

1. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Applicant's arguments, filed January 31, 2005, with respect to the Office action mailed November 2, 2004, have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection to claims 1-20 has been withdrawn. Applicant's remarks as discussed on page 4 through 4 of the remarks has stated the difference between the prior art used against the application and the claimed invention. Specifically, the monitor pad 32 of Aoki does not teach the switching unit of the present invention is configured for disconnecting an output line configured for supplying a scanning signal that a judging unit has judge as being defective from a corresponding scanning line of a display section.

Therefore, claims 1-20 are allowed as stated below. With respect to claims 1-18, the prior art searched and of record neither anticipates nor suggests a display section with scanning lines; a scanning driver including output lines for supplying scanning signals to said scanning lines of said display section; a judging unit for judging as to whether or not each of said scanning signals supplied from said scanning driver is defective, and for outputting the judging result; and a switching unit for disconnecting the output line for supplying a scanning signal that said judging unit has judged as being defective, from the corresponding scanning line of said display section.

With respect to claim 19, the prior art searched and of record neither anticipates nor suggests a display section with scanning lines; a scanning driver including output lines for supplying scanning signals to said scanning lines of said display section; a judging unit for judging as to whether or not each of said scanning signals supplied from said scanning driver is defective, and for outputting the judging result; and a switching unit for disconnecting the output line for supplying a scanning signal that said judging unit has judged as being defective, from the corresponding scanning line of said display section.

With respect to claim 20, the prior art searched and of record neither anticipates nor suggests a driving method of a display device comprising a display section with scanning lines, and a scanning driver including output lines for supplying scanning signals to said scanning lines of said display section, said method comprising the steps of: judging as to whether or not each of said scanning signals supplied from said scanning driver is defective; and disconnecting the output line for supplying a scanning signal that has been judged as being defective, from the corresponding scanning line of said display section.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Negussie Worku whose telephone number is 571-272-7472. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly Williams can be reached on 571-272-7471. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Negussie Worku

JEROME GRANT II PRIMARY EXAMINER